

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/053,973 01/22/2002		2002	Kenneth Ostrom	35706.6300	9241		
20322	7590 03/25/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER		
SNELL & V		LE, JO	LE, JOHN H				
ONE ARIZO 400 EAST V	NA CENTER AN BUREN		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
PHOENIX,	AZ 85004000	01	2863				
				DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004	DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/053,9	73	OSTROM ET AL.				
	Offic Action Summary	Examine	,	Art Unit				
		John H Le		2863				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communic Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespond nce ad	ldress			
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC sions of time may be available under the provisions of SiX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuse to reply within the set or extended period for re	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evication. days, a reply within the stat tory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>13 January 200</u>	<u>4</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is r	on-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	on of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the ap ta) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) 3-14 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1.2 and 15-17 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co						
Application	on Papers							
10) 🖾 🗆	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 22 January 2001 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the part of the control of the contro	<u>02</u> is/are: a)⊠ acc on to the drawing(s) l he correction is requir	be held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to I	by the Examiner. IN	ole the attached Office	Action of form P	10-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International ceet the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have bee ocuments have bee the priority document al Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment			_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	0.048)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Pino(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

Respons to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 01/13/2004.

Claim 1 has been amended.

Claims 15-17 have been added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 15 discloses a transient load generator for testing a microelectronic power delivery system, the generator comprising: a first voltage source (208) having a first output voltage; a control circuit (206) coupled to the first voltage source (208); a transistor (204) having a gate region coupled to the control circuit (206); and a second voltage source (102) having a second output voltage coupled to a drain region of the transistor, wherein the second output voltage is greater than the first output voltage.

Claim 15 discloses a transient load generator for testing a microelectronic power delivery system, the generator comprising: a first voltage source (108) having a first

Art Unit: 2863

output voltage; a control circuit (206) coupled to the first voltage source (108); a transistor (204) having a gate region coupled to the control circuit (206); and a second voltage source (208) having a second output voltage coupled to a drain region of the transistor, wherein the second output voltage is greater than the first output voltage.

Claim 15, line 4, "a control circuit (206) couple to the first voltage source (108)" was not described in the specification or in drawings.

Claim 15, lines 7-8, "the second output voltage (the second output voltage of the second source 102) is greater than the first output voltage (the first output voltage of the first source 208)" was not described in the specification or in drawings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless.-

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ehsani (USP 5,287,261).

Regarding claims 1-2, Ehsani teaches an apparatus for high power conversion using soft switching (Col.2, lines 30-34) for increasing in the load results in a transient condition (Col.5, lines 18-23), wherein the circuit comprising: a first voltage source V1; a control circuit coupled to a first voltage source V1; a transistor having a gate region

coupled to the control circuit; and a second voltage source Vcc coupled to a drain region and source region of the transistor (source region of transistor connect to GND (ground))(e.g. Fig.5).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 3-14 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 3, none of the prior art of record teaches or suggests the combination of a transient load generator for testing a microelectronic power delivery system, wherein the generator comprising: a first voltage source having a first output voltage; a second voltage source having a second output voltage, wherein the second output voltage is greater than the first output voltage; a first current source coupled to the second voltage source; a second current source coupled to the second voltage source; a control circuit configured to receive an input trigger signal and transmit a corresponding signal to the second current source to switch the current source from an off state to an on state; a first transistor coupled to the first voltage source and the first current source; and a second transistor coupled to the second voltage source and the first transistor. It is these limitations as they are claimed in the combination, which have not been found, taught or suggested in the prior art of record, that make these claims allowable over the prior art.

Regarding claim 11, none of the prior art of record teaches or suggests the combination of a transient load generator for testing a microelectronic power delivery

system, wherein the generator comprising: a first voltage source having a first output voltage; a second voltage source having a second output voltage, wherein the second output voltage is greater than the first output voltage; a current source coupled to the second voltage source; a first transistor coupled to the current source and to ground; and a second transistor coupled to the current source and to ground. It is these limitations as they are claimed in the combination, which have not been found, taught or suggested in the prior art of record, that make these claims allowable over the prior art.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed 01/13/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- -Applicant argues that the prior did not teach, "a voltage source coupled to the source region of the transistor" as in claim 1.
- Ehsani teaches, "a voltage source coupled to the source region of the transistor" as discussed above.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/053,973

Art Unit: 2863

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Specifically Ehsani has been added to second ground of rejection.

Contact Information

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John H Le whose telephone number is 571-272-2275. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Barlow can be reached on 571-272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John H. Le

Patent Examiner-Group 2863

March 19, 2004

Whn Barloy
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Page 6